Kimberlites and the start of plate tectonics
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ABSTRACT
We want to know when plate tectonics began and will consider any important Earth feature that shows significant temporal evolution. Kimberlites, the primary source of diamonds, are rare igneous features. We analyze their distribution throughout Earth history: most are young (~95% are younger than 0.75 Ga), but rare examples are found as far back as the Archean (older than 2.5 Ga). Although there are differing explanations for this age asymmetry (lack of preservation, lack of exposure, fewer mantle plumes, or lack of old thick lithosphere in the Archean and Proterozoic), we suggest that kimberlite eruptions are a consequence of modern-style plate tectonics, in particular subduction of hydrated oceanic crust and sediments deep into the mantle. This recycling since the onset of modern-style plate tectonics ca. 1 Ga has massively increased mantle CO2 and H2O contents, leading to the rapid and explosive ascent of diamond-bearing kimberlite magmas. The age distribution of kimberlites, combined with other large-scale tectonic indicators that are prevalent only in the past ~1 Ga (blueschists, glaucophane-bearing eclogites; coesite- or diamond-bearing ultrahigh-pressure metamorphic rocks; lawsonite-bearing metamorphic rocks; and jadeitites), indicates that plate tectonics, as observed today, has only operated for <25% of Earth history.

INTRODUCTION
Understanding when and how plate tectonics (PT) began is an important focus of geological research, and resolving this controversy will not be easy. Korenaga (2013) summarized 10 estimates for this beginning; these encompass most of Earth history, from before 4.2 Ga to ca. 0.85 Ga. To resolve this debate, we need to consider any potentially useful line of evidence that promises to provide new insights and place independent constraints on when this critical transition occurred. Here we consider how the unusual and valuable rock type kimberlite (KBL) may be an important new line of evidence in this exploration.

KBLs are potassic, volatile-rich ultramafic rocks with high contents of both compatible (e.g., Ni, Cr) and incompatible elements (Ti, P, light rare earth elements). They erupt explosively as diatremes through the overlying continental lithosphere en route to the surface. KBLs represent lithospheric “aneurysms” that release fluid overpressure in the upper mantle. These instabilities are due to high concentrations of a mixed CO2-H2O fluid.

KBLs are uncommon and would be a petrologic curiosity except that they contain some of the deepest mantle fragments (xenoliths, xenocrysts) and diamonds and thus are intensely scrutinized by both industry and academic geoscientists. Here we explore the implications of the KBL record through time, showing that, although these eruptions have occurred since the Neoarchean, the frequency of such eruptions has greatly increased recently. We explain this as reflecting a massive increase in water flux to the mantle beneath the lithosphere due to the onset of continuous deep subduction in the past 1 Ga. The greatly increased flux of water and CO2 led to greatly increased fluid pressure at the base of thick cratonic lithosphere. Our exploration, motivated by the PT origins controversy, may incidentally provide new insights into the origin and significance of these important and interesting rocks.

KIMBERLITES
KBL magmas are end members of a complex petrologic continuum that encompasses lamproites, carbonatites, and a wide range of silica-undersaturated alkaline silicate magmas (Sparks, 2013). This magma stem is united by four broad petrogenetic similarities: (1) an important role for CO2 as a volatile phase, (2) formation by melting at elevated pressures, (3) low degrees of melting, and (4) formation at intraplate and rift tectonic settings. This continuum also forms on the silica-undersaturated side of an important petrologic thermal divide (Milholland and Presnall, 1998).

KBLs contain abundant phlogopite and carbonate, indicating that they formed from a magma that was rich in H2O and CO2 and poor in SiO2. Primary H2O and CO2 contents are difficult to constrain because these rocks alter easily. The best direct estimate of KBL fluid composition comes from aphanitic samples of the Jericho KBL, northwest Canada, which contains 12–19 wt% CO2 and 5.3–7.5 wt% H2O (Price et al., 2000).

Diamond-bearing KBL magmas must have been generated deeper than the low-pressure stability limit of diamonds (>140 km) in order to pluck them from their lithospheric source and carry them to the surface. Researchers agree that KBL melts are generated by low-degree melting of carbonate-bearing garnet lherzolite involving abundant H2O and CO2 in or near the thick, cool, metasomatized roots of continents (Taitton and McKenzie, 1994), consistent with KBL isotopic compositions (Nowell et al., 2004).

Clifford’s Rule (Clifford, 1966) teaches the importance of cratons for finding diamonds and KBLs. KBLs erupt through cratons, presumably because these have lithospheres thick enough to concentrate volatiles to pressures (>6 GPa) capable of blasting through it. The interiors of continents are cored by cratons, regions of crustal basement that have not been deformed for >1 Ga (Lee et al., 2011). The great strength of cratons is because they are underlain by thick mantle lithosphere composed of highly melt-depleted peridotites. In spite of the intimate relationship between KBLs and cratons and the fact that cratons have existed for 2.5–3.0 Ga, KBLs are a much more recent phenomena. We examine the evidence for this conclusion in the following.

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF KIMBERLITES AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE
The age distribution of KBLs was summarized by Faure (2006; his spreadsheet can be found at https://consorem.uqac.ca/kimberlite/world_kimberlites_and_lamproites_consorem_database_y2010.xls). Approximately 95% of dated KBLs are younger than 750 Ma; the vast majority are Mesozoic and younger (Fig. 1). This global summary is mirrored in age distributions for the great KBL provinces of the world. For example, ~80% of North American KBLs are younger than 200 Ma (Heaman et al., 2004); a similar record is seen for South Africa KBLs (Jelsma et al., 2009).

Is the age distribution of Faure (2006) a reasonable approximation of reality or an artifact?
Archean and Paleoproterozoic greenstone belts (Herzberg et al., 2010). Examination of the distribution through time of nephelinites, phonolites, and alkali basalts (data from GEOROC; georoc.mpch-mainz.gwdg.de/) shows that these are abundant only in the last part of Earth history. The abundance of KBLs may have a similar explanation, although this does not explain why they are so enriched in volatiles.

Plume-focused interpretations relate KBLs to mantle plume activity (Haggerty, 1994; Torsvik et al., 2010). This explanation is not supported by the geologic record, which shows that the plume record as revealed by large igneous provinces and large dike swarms is semicontinuous at least from ca. 3.0 Ga, with no obvious increase with time (Ernst and Buchan, 2002).

Lithosphere-focused explanations consider that KBLs require thick cratonic lithosphere, and that this did not exist until relatively late in Earth history. This position is difficult to defend because it appears that cratons as represented by supercontinent assemblages formed early and that the oldest cratons have the thickest lithospheres (Artemieva and Mooney, 2002; Rey and Coltice, 2008).

The fourth explanation focuses on the role of volatiles in KBL formation, implying a relatively recent increase in the concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2$ in the sublithospheric mantle as responsible. This is an interesting suggestion that could explain the age histogram, especially in tandem with Earth cooling, but what process could be responsible for such an increase in $\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2$ in the mantle? The onset of PT and deep subduction could be responsible, as discussed further here.

**PLATE TECTONICS AND DEEP SUBDUCTION**

PT is a unique style of silicate planet convection, whereby rigid shells of lithosphere slide over weaker mantle asthenosphere and sink to great depths in the mantle. It is the sinking of oceanic lithosphere in subduction zones that powers plate motions. Although subduction zones are not part of the formal definition of PT, we now know that convergent plate margins are surficial expressions of subduction zones where oceanic lithospheric sinks deep into the mantle (Stern, 2002). Subducted lithospheric slabs may stagnate just above the 670 km discontinuity or may sink through it, carrying tremendous amounts of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}_2$ (Hacker, 2008). The two concepts, PT and deep subduction, are equivalent, so evidence for deep subduction is also evidence for PT. There are surely other ways of delivering such volatiles to the deep mantle than by subduction. There is no question that water- and carbonate-rich surface materials have been recycled deep into the mantle throughout Earth history (Harrison, 2009), but this may have been accomplished by convective styles other than PT and subduction, for example, by heat-pipe tectonics (Moore and Webb, 2013) and delamination (Bédard 2006). These mechanisms are capable of delivering some surface volatiles to sublithospheric depths, but the volumes delivered by subduction to mantle depths below that sampled by arc magmas ($\sim 2 \times 10^{11}$ mol/yr; Parai and Mukhopadhyay, 2012) are much greater.

**DEEP SUBDUCTION AND KIMBERLITES**

The remaining interpretation for the observed age distribution of KBLs is that the concentrations of volatiles in the upper mantle delivered to the base of the lithosphere beneath cratons increased tremendously in the latter part of Earth history. In this interpretation, the great problem is where did the fluids come from to rupture the lithosphere and allow KBL to erupt? As noted here, the only solid Earth processes that are capable of delivering large amounts of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}_2$ to the mantle beneath the lithosphere are PT and subduction. Water and carbonate in subducting slabs is sequestered in sediments, oceanic crust, and upper mantle, and these volatiles are released continuously as the slab descends. Hacker (2008) calculated that, at present, $8.4 \times 10^{17}$ kg/Ma of water ($\sim 0.06\%$ of the ocean each Ma) is subducted beneath 4 GPa ($\sim 135$ km deep), although other estimates are significantly lower (Parai and Mukhopadhyay, 2012). Subduction zones and the water that these transport to depth have been linked to some KBLs, specifically an $\sim 4000$-km-long north-south–trending corridor of Cretaceous to Eocene KBL magmatism in northwest Canada that Heaman et al. (2004) interpreted to reflect subduction of the Kula-Farallon plate.

Given the ability of subduction to deliver $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}_2$ deep into the mantle, it is worth considering the possibility that the increased abundance of KBLs in the past 1 Ga reflects the massive injection of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}_2$ into the mantle after PT and deep subduction began (Fig. 2). In this interpretation, there was no PT and no deep subduction before ca. 1 Ga. The flux of water to the mantle was low, mostly delivered by delamination and lithospheric drapes, so fluid pressure at the top of asthenosphere was mostly low and the buildup of volatiles needed for KBL eruptions rarely occurred (Fig. 2A). After ca. 1 Ga, PT and deep subduction began delivering much more water to the mantle at depths greater than the base of the cratonic lithosphere, $\sim 250$ km. Evidence that deeply subducted water currently rises back into the overlying mantle includes a persistent low S-velocity anomaly in the upper mantle (van der Lee et al., 2008). Water and carbon dioxide released from the slab infiltrated and permeated upward, perhaps interacting with carbonated peridotite mantle, generating abundant $\text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{CO}_2$ fluids and eventually becoming trapped at the base of the continental lithosphere, increasing fluid pressure there (Fig. 2B). Eventually the build-up of fluid pressure broke to the surface as a KBL eruption; in regions of thinner lithosphere the mixed fluid phase may enhance generation of other low-degree mantle melts, which also seem to have erupted more abundantly in recent Earth history.

It must be acknowledged that, even if the general hypothesis is correct, there are many unanswered questions. Do both $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}_2$...
in KBLs have the same origin? Are both derived from deeply subducted slabs, or does this mixed phase reflect destabilization of preexisting mantle carbonate by water rising from subducted slabs (as shown in Fig. 2B)? How long after PT and deep subduction began did it take for fluids to be subducted to depth, be released from the slab and rise through the mantle to the base of the continental lithosphere and reach concentrations sufficient to cause a KBL eruption? Although this is an unknown, it likely requires time spans of hundreds of millions of years. For example, it would take a minimum of ~100 Ma for the Farallon slab at a nominal rate of 50 mm/yr to move 5000 km and reach a position 660 km beneath the U.S. east coast. The time it would take for fluids to move from the subducted slab through the mantle and concentrate in sufficient quantities to break through the lithosphere could also be tens to hundreds of millions of years.

**COMPARISON WITH OTHER PLATE TECTONIC INDICATORS**

If we seriously consider KBLs as a PT indicator, then the conclusion that PT and deep subduction began within the past 1 Ga must be consistent with other lines of evidence. There is a wide diversity of opinion on this point, and most think that it was sometime in the Archean (Korenaga, 2013). If this quasi-consensus is correct, then our conclusions based on the KBL age distribution must be wrong. However, if the recent increase of KBL activity is related to the beginning of PT activity and massive increase in fluid budget of sublithospheric mantle due to the onset of deep subduction, there should be confirmation from the geologic record. We argue here that, although there is clear evidence of deep recycling very early in Earth history and that Earth was tectonically and magmatically very active throughout the Precambrian, most of the large-scale (the size of terranes) PT indicators first appeared in the Neoproterozoic (Fig. 3).

Figure 3 compares three different types of PT indicators: mantle fluids, seafloor spreading, and products of subduction zone metamorphism. KBL abundance monitors mantle fluid concentrations (Fig. 3A). Ophiolites are direct indicators of seafloor spreading and PT. A few ca. 1.9 Ga ophiolites are known, possibly indicating an abortive phase of Paleoproterozoic proto-PT, but abundant ophiolites began to appear ca. 1.0 Ga (Fig. 3B). Four different and direct indicators of uniquely cool subduction zone thermal environments are all metamorphic rocks, not easily removed by erosion (Fig. 3C). Blueschists are fragments of oceanic crust and sediments metamorphosed 30–60 km deep under unusually cool conditions only found in subduction zones. Ultrahigh-pressure metamorphic rocks contain metamorphic coesite or diamond and require subduction of continental crust to at least 100 km deep. Lawsonite formation requires high-pressure, high-temperature metamorphic conditions, typically blueschist and low-temperature eclogite facies. The oldest lawsonite-bearing rocks are latest Neoproterozoic, implying that sufficiently cold subduction zone thermal structures for lawsonite formation did not exist until then (Tsujimori and Ernst, 2014). Jadeite formation requires hydrous fluid precipitation or the interaction of fluid and subduction zone metamorphic rocks at high pressures and high temperatures within the forearc mantle wedge. Taken together, the geologic record of large-scale petrotectonic indicators supports the hypothesis that the recent increase in KBLs reflects the beginning of PT and sustained deep subduction in Neoproterozoic time.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We summarized evidence that the high volatile contents of KBLs reflect addition of water and carbon dioxide to the mantle by deep subduction and showed how the KBL record can be used to help constrain when sustained deep subduction first began. It is a low-fidelity record because of the long time needed between the start of deep subduction and increased KBL eruption frequency. Recognition that the modern episode of PT and deep subduction began in the Neoproterozoic opens the door to considering magmatic and tectonic styles of the pre-PT era, an exciting research topic that we are only starting to consider. It may also provide some new
insights on the causes of the Neoproterozoic climate crisis summarized as snowball Earth and on the Cambrian evolutionary explosion.
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